

In vitro Toxicology

Chronic Exposure Nephrotoxicity Assay

Background Information



⁴Drugs cause approximately 20 percent of community- and hospital-acquired episodes of acute renal failure. Among older adults, the incidence of druginduced nephrotoxicity may be as high as 66 percent.'

²Naughton CA (2008) *Am Fam Physician* **78(6)**; 743-750

- Drug-induced nephrotoxicity (DIN) is a leading cause of renal failure in the clinic; creating a major concern within drug discovery programs.
- Being a highly structured filtration network, with a rich blood flow, the kidney is often exposed to high concentrations of drugs and/or metabolites creating vulnerability to drug-induced toxicity¹.
- Renal proximal tubule epithelial cells (RPTEC) are the predominant cell type in the kidney proximal tubule and one of the main sites for re-absorption and drug accumulation often resulting in tubular damage by interfering with mitochondrial function, impairing tubular transport, increasing oxidative stress or forming free radicals^{1,2,3}.
- A combined high content screening (HCS) approach allows a measure of multiple cell health markers including glutathione content (GSH), phospholipidosis (PLD), mitochondrial mass (mito mass) and mitochondrial membrane potential (MMP) alongside cellular ATP levels in a human kidney relevant *in vitro* cell model in order to better predict drug induced nephrotoxicity (DIN).

Protocol

Cell Type

Renal proximal tubule epithelial cells (RPTEC)

Analysis Platform and Method

Cellomics ArrayScan[®] (Thermo Scientific) Combined High Content Screening (HCS)

Test Article Concentrations*

8 point dose response curve with top concentration based on 100x $\rm C_{max}$ or solubility limit

Number of Replicates*

3 replicates per concentration

Test Article Requirements

150 μL of a stock solution to achieve 100x $C_{\rm max}$ (1000x top concentration to maintain 0.1% DMSO) or equivalent amount in solid compound.

Time Points* 9 days (216 hr)

Toxicity Markers*

Cell loss Nuclear size DNA structure Mitochondrial mass Mitochondrial membrane potential Phospholipidosis Glutathione content Cellular ATP

Quality Controls*

Negative control: 0.1% DMSO (vehicle) Positive controls: Sertraline and L-buthioninesulfoximine

Data Delivery

Minimum effective concentration (MEC) and AC $_{\rm 50}$ values with dose response curves for each measured parameter.

*Other options available on request.



| Compound | Human exposure C _{max} (µM)* | Known nephrotoxin | Minimum effective concentration; MEC (µM) | Most sensitive feature |
|--------------------------|--|------------------------|---|----------------------------------|
| (S)-(+)- Camptothecin | 0.083 | Yes | 0.003 | Nuclear size |
| Acetaminophen | 165.4 | Yes | 182 | Glutathione content |
| Cisplatin | 2 | Yes | 0.106 | Glutathione content |
| Cyclosporin A | 11 | Yes | 0.709 | Phospholipidosis |
| Diclofenac | 10.1 | Yes | 29 | Cellular ATP level |
| Gentamycin | 13 | Yes | 367 | Mitochondrial membrane potential |
| Tobramycin | 16 | Yes | 477 | Mitochondrial mass |
| Phenacetin | 12 | Yes | 397 | Mitochondrial mass |
| Amikacin | 34 | Yes | 344 | - |
| Buspirone | 0.009 | No | No response | - |
| Piroxicam | 12.79 | No | No response | - |
| Flavoxate | 1.788 | No | 117 | Glutathione content |
| Flumazenil | 1.21 | No | No response | - |
| Levocarnitine | 85.7 | No | No response | - |
| Mecamylamine | 0.142 | No | No response | - |
| Propanthelien | 0.44 | No | No response | - |
| < 1x C _{max} | < 10x 0 | C _{max} < 30x | C _{max} > 50x C _{max} | |

Table 1

Nephrotoxicity prediction of 16 reference compounds categorised according to literature data.

Utilising the RPTEC chronic exposure HCS assay all reference compound toxicities were correctly predicted with 100% accuracy, sensitivity and specificity within a 30x C_{max} cut off (table 1). Multi-parametric high content screening allows detection of nephrotoxicity below therapeutic levels (C_{max}) for cisplatin (MEC 0.106 μ M; C_{max} 2 μ M) and cyclosporin A (MEC 0.709 μ M; C_{max} 11 μ M), highlighting the sensitivity of the assay.

The combination of an *in vitro* human relevant cell model with chronic compound exposures and multi-parametric endpoint assessment presents a viable screening strategy for the accurate *in vivo* relevant detection of novel therapeutics that cause nephrotoxicity early in drug development.

*Plasma $\mathrm{C}_{_{\mathrm{max}}}$ values were taken from the literature.

Figure 2

Graphical representation of (a) cellular ATP content and GSH content response following 216 hr of cisplatin exposure and (b) cellular ATP content and phospholipidosis response following 216 hr of cyclosporin A exposure in RPTECs.

RPTECs were exposed to test compound for 216 hours, re-dosing occurred on 3 occasions over this period. At 216 hours the cell model was analysed using a Cellomics ArrayScan® (Thermo Scientific) following incorporation of fluorescent dyes for cell health parameters including DNA structure (Syto11), GSH content (mBCl), phospholipidosis (HCS LipidTOXTM Red), mitochondrial dysfunction (MitoTracker® Deep Red). Subsequently cellular ATP content (CellTiter-Glo®, Promega) was determined.





References

¹ Pazhayattil GS & Shirali AC (2014). Drug-induced impairment of renal function. Int J Nephrol Renovascular Dis 7; 457-468.

² Naughton CA (2008). Drug-induced nephrotoxicity. Am Fam Physician 78(6): 743-750.

³ Ozer JS et al. (2010). A panel of urinary biomarkers to monitor reversibility of renal injury and a serum marker with improved potential to assess renal function. Nat Biotechnol. 25(5): 486-494.