

Skin and Ocular Testing

KeratinoSens[™] Skin Sensitisation Assay

Background Information



Small electrophilic substances such as skin sensitizers can act on the sensor protein Keap1 (Kelch-like ECHassociated protein 1), by e.g., covalent modification of its cysteine residue, resulting in its dissociation from the transcription factor Nrf2 (nuclear factor-erythroid 2-related factor 2). The dissociated Nrf2 can then activate ARE-dependent genes such as those coding for phase II detoxifying enzymes'

⁴OECD Guideline for the Testing of Chemicals. Draft Proposal for a New Test Guideline: *In Vitro* Skin Sensitisation: ARE-Nrf2 Luciferase Test Method, May 2014

- The Keap1-Nrf2-ARE pathways have been shown to be a major regulator of cytoprotective responses to oxidative stress or electrophilic compounds. These pathways are also known to be involved in the cellular processes in skin sensitisation.^{1,2,3}
- The KeratinoSens[™] assay uses an immortalised adherent human keratinocyte cell line (HaCaT cell line), transfected with a selectable plasmid to quantify luciferase gene induction as a measure of activation of Keap1-Nrf2-antioxidant/electrophile response element (ARE)¹ and has been validated as a useful *in vitro* system for assessing the skin sensitising potential of compounds.
- In February 2014, KeratinoSens[™] was recommended by EURL ECVAM (European Union Reference Laboratory for Alternatives to Animal Testing) for use within an integrated strategy for skin sensitisation testing. An OECD test guideline (OECD TG 442D) was released in February 2015.⁴
- Cyprotex offer the KeratinoSens[™] assay in accordance with the OECD test guideline.

Protocol

Cell Line

KeratinoSens[™] cell line

Analysis

Induction of luciferase reporter gene expression and cell viability

Test Article Concentrations

12 concentrations in triplicate in 3 independently performed experiments

Highest concentration 2000 μM (according to OECD guideline, dependent on customer requirements)

Time Points

48 hrs

Quality Controls

Vehicle control: 1% DMSO (vehicle) Positive control: cinnamic aldehyde Negative control: sodium dodecyl sulfate

Data Delivery

Dose response curves for cell viability and luciferase reporter gene expression

MEC (minimum effective concentration), AC_{50} , IC_{30} and maximum response (%) for cell viability

 $\rm EC_{1.5},\, AC_{50}$ and maximum response $(\rm I_{max})$ for luciferase gene expression as well as sensitising potential classification

'The KeratinoSens™ test method was considered scientifically valid to be used as part of an IATA, to support the discrimination between skin sensitizers and non-sensitizers for the purpose of hazard classification and labelling.'⁴

Table 1

Data from the KeratinoSens™ assay for 13 compounds with comparison to literature data including the OECD draft guidelines.^{4,5,6}

| | | Literature Data4,5,6 | | | Cyprotex Data | | |
|--------------------------------|----------------|----------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|----------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|
| Compound | In vivo | Classification | EC _{1.5} * (µM) | IC ₅₀ (µM) | Classification | EC _{1.5} * (µM) | IC ₅₀ (μΜ) |
| Non-sensitising compounds | | | | | | | |
| Isopropanol | Non-sensitiser | Negative | >1000 | >1000 | Negative | >1000 | >1000 |
| Salicylic acid | Non-sensitiser | Negative | >1000 | >1000 | Negative | >1000 | >1000 |
| Lactic acid | Non-sensitiser | Negative | >1000 | >1000 | Negative | >1000 | >1000 |
| Glycerol | Non-sensitiser | Negative | >1000 | >1000 | Negative | >1000 | >1000 |
| Sodium dodecyl sulphate | Non-sensitiser | Negative | NR | NR | Negative | >31 | 54 |
| Sensitising compounds | | | | | | | |
| Cinnamyl alcohol | Weak | Positive | 25-175 | >1000 | Positive | 119 | >1000 |
| Ethylene glycol dimethacrylate | Weak | Positive | 5-125 | >500 | Positive | 39 | 810 |
| 2-Mercaptobenzothiazole | Moderate | Positive | 50-250 | >500 | Positive | 1187 | 1025 |
| Methyldibromo glutaronitrile | Strong | Positive | <20 | 20-100 | Positive | 9.1 | 25 |
| 4-Methylaminophenol | Strong | Positive | <12.5 | 20-200 | Positive | 3.3 | 15 |
| 2,4-Dinitro-1-chlorobenzene | Extreme | Positive | <12.5 | 5-20 | Positive | 1.5 | 8 |
| Cinnamic aldehyde | Weak | Positive | NR | NR | Positive | 13 | 100 |
| 2,3-Butanedione | Weak | Positive | <100 | NR | Positive | 54 | 370 |


NR = not reported

* EC_{1.5} represents the concentration for which gene induction is above the 1.5-fold threshold (i.e., 50% enhanced gene activity is obtained)

The KeratinoSens[™] data illustrated in Table 1 comprises of eight sensitising compounds and five non-sensitising compounds. All compounds were predicted correctly when compared with the *in vivo* classification, with previously published data and the proficiency compounds of the OECD draft guidelines.

Figure 1

Data from the KeratinoSens[™] assay for the skin sensitisers cinnamic aldehyde and ethylene glycol dimethacrylate. The upper graphs illustrate the activation of the luciferase reporter with increasing concentrations of test article. The lower graphs illustrate MTT data which are used to assess cytotoxicity of the test article. The points on the upper graphs are excluded if they exceed the cytotoxicity limit and these points are illustrated as open blue circles.



References

- 1 Emter R et al., (2010) Performance of a novel keratinocyte-based reporter cell line to screen skin sensitizers in vitro. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 245(3); 281-290
- Natsch A *et al.*, (2010) The Nrf2-Keap1-ARE toxicity pathway as a cellular sensor for skin sensitizers Functional relevance and hypothesis on innate reactions to skin sensitizers. *Toxicol Sci* **113(2)**; 284-292
 Dinkova-Kostova AT *et al.*, (2005) The role of Keap1 in cellular protective responses. *Chem Res Toxicol* **18(12)**; 1779-1791
- ⁴ OECD Guideline for the Testing of Chemicals. In Vitro Skin Sensitisation: ARE-Nrf2 Luciferase Test Method, Adopted February 2015
- ⁵ Bauch C et al., (2012) Putting the parts together: Combining in vitro methods to test for skin sensitizing potentials. Regul Toxicol Pharmacol 63(3); 489-504
- ⁶ Natsch A *et al.*, (2011) The intra- and inter-laboratory reproducibility and predictivity of the KeratinoSens assay to predict skin sensitizers *in vitro*: Results of a ring-study in five laboratories. *Toxicol In Vitro* **25(3)**; 733-744